Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,661	RYMER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL M. BERNSHTEYN	1796
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) MICHAEL M. BERNSHTEYN.	(3)	
(2) Ms. Maria M. Kortakis (Reg. No. 41, 126).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 March 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: All the claims.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Yes.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.		
Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The amendments of the claims in RCE and presceed rebinder of the claims have been discussed in details.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04.) Is anyly to be last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS. OR THE MAIN DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DAYMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/Michael M. Bernshteyn/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)